

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,955	10/03/2003	Robert C. Handberg	117P1835US01	7289
43896	7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER	
ECOLAB INC.			CHIN, PAUL T	
MAIL STOP ESC-F7, 655 LONE OAK DRIVE EAGAN, MN 55121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	TAI ER NOMBER
			3032	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,955	HANDBERG ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	PAUL T. CHIN	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		<u> </u>				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 /	March 2006.					
•	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 7-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)	a □ · · ·	(DTO 442)				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Lil Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed March 17, 2006, and the arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive in light of amended claims. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Greenberg (5,322,231). A non-final office action follows as below.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of the species of Figs. 1-3 (Group I), readable on claims 1-4 and 6, in the reply filed on November 29, 2005, is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 5 and 7-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 29, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenberg (5,322,231).

Greenberg (5,322,231) discloses a carrying handle comprising a substantially arcuate member (40), a substantially circular inside of the surface, the arcuate member having first and second ends forming a slot (44), the inner diameter of the arcuate member is equal to or less than the diameter of the spout (32,24) of an object, a grip section having

a base member (36), a first side and a second side, and the ends of arcuate member capable of being a first position (closer) and a second position (wider) (Col. 2, lines 36-57). Greenberg (5,322,231) does not specifically show that the slot is about one-half of the diameter of the arcuate member. However, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to optimize the size of the slot, to be at least one-half of the diameter of the arcuate member to provide a stronger lift.

6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenberg (5,322,231) in view of Lovette (6,076,874).

Greenberg (5,322,231), as presented above, does not show that the handle is being made of nylon. However, Lovette (6,076,874) teaches a handle being made of nylon material (Col. 7, lines 2-6). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art on the handle of Greenberg (5,322,231) to be made of nylon, as taught by Lovette (6,076,874) to provide both the sufficient flexibility and a sufficient stiffness so as not to flex under the weight of an object.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (571) 272-6922. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,955

Art Unit: 3652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner Art Unit 3652 Page 4

Paulch